

Appl. No. 10/676,953
Response Dated August 16, 2004
Response to Restr. Req. of June 15, 2004

REMARKS

In the present restriction requirement the examiner requested that the applicants elect one of the following for further prosecution on the merits.


I. Claims 1-21 and 25-28, drawn to a semiconductor device.

II. Claims 22-24, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device.

Applicants do not agree with the examiners findings outlined in his restriction requirement, but elect claims 1-21 and 25-28 for further prosecution.

Applicants respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,



Jaye G. Heybl
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 42,661

August 16, 2004

KOPPEL JACOBS PATRICK & HEYBL
555 St. Charles Drive, Suite #107
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
(805)373-0060